Decentralised governance & climate change adaptation:

Exploring differential impacts & expectations at the subnational & local levels in East Africa

Dr Poshendra Satyal

School of International Development University of East Anglia, UK

Adaptation Futures, 18-21 June, Cape Town





Governance of adaptation in East Africa

- While decentralisation remains an important policy item in East Africa, there exists limited empirical evidence on its effects on local adaptation processes. Mixed successes elsewhere.
- Examines the impacts of decentralised governance structures & planning processes on adaptation in water & disaster risk reduction & various governance dimensions – from policy drivers, planning processes to local interactions.
- Case studies in Awash, Ethiopia & Isiolo, Kenya (representing some of the driest regions of East Africa)
- Based on diverse perspectives from a range of stakeholders at national, subnational & community levels
- Semi-structured interviews & group discussions during fieldwork in Kenya (March'16 & Feb'17) & Ethiopia (April'16 & Nov'17). In total, 44 interviews (26 in Kenya & 18 in Ethiopia).



Potential impacts of decentralised governance of water & DRR

Conceptual framework

National			
	Dec	D Water sector	
Sub-national	entralisation	 Disaster risk reduction (management of droughts & floods) 	
Local		ප	

Potential impacts and outcomes of decentralised governance											
Connects & disconnects across sectors & scales	Transfer of power & authority	Access to services & information	Availability of resources	Capacity & skills	Participation & inclusion	Flexibility & learning	Conflict resolution				

Figure 1. Conceptual framework: potential impacts of decentralised governance of water and DRR



Impacts of decentralisation

1) Connects & disconnects across scales & sectors

- Lack of coordination & integration between different sectors & levels of government & with activities of other non-state actors; incoherence between planning & development cycles; more pronounced disconnects between national & sub-national units
- Good practice: The County Steering Group, coordinated by the NDMA in Kenya includes representatives from county & national governments, development partners, CBOs & NGOs.

2) Transfer of power & authority

- Varying degree of transfer of authority in two countries (despite incomplete devolution, substantial power at county & local levels in Kenya; fragmented transfer of authority in Ethiopia)
- Positive impacts: Devolved power enabling lower levels to respond to the local needs more effectively; NDMA's setting up of Ward & County Adaptation Planning Committees – better coordination.



3) Access to services & information

- Improved water management having positive impacts on livelihoods, especially for pastoralist women & children. However, mixed expectation of service delivery at the local level (e.g. devolution ridiculed as 'devil-ution' in Kenya).
- Pastoralists & agro-pastoralists have better access to climate services & the exchange of climate & weather data between the national agencies & sub-national departments has been good.

4. Availability of resources and budgets

- In Kenya, there are increased resources available to the county & local levels but more bureaucracy & competition between different sectors.
- In Ethiopia, Woreda & Kebele levels have problems of resource constraints. E.g. Woreda governments do not receive additional money (only food aid) during drought & floods.



5. Capacity, staffing & leadership skills

- Capacity deficit is a major concern, particularly at the lower levels. Sub-national & local actors & institutions face a lot of pressure when emergencies happen. Lack of effective tools
 reactive approach as against forward-looking one.
- External support (such of CARE for early warning systems on floods & drought in Ethiopia; IIED for Kenya's Adaptation Consortium) has been useful.

6. Participation & inclusion

- Notable but varying degrees of success in strengthening participation. E.g. public consultation as a mandatory process in Kenya; creation of **new hybrid spaces** (e.g. formalisation of customary Dedha committees).
- Political patronage and clan-based system still persist.
 Participation of marginalized groups is still symbolic or tokenistic rather than being genuine or substantive.



7. Flexibility and learning:

- There has been enhanced flexibility and learning in innovating & adapting what works & what does not in responding to water crisis & climate risks.
- E.g. Isiolo government's attempts to improve water services, protect water resources & avoid unnecessary costs for vehicles and emergency response; formalisation of customary institutions not only offering flexibility in water management but also having equity impacts.

8. Conflict resolution:

- Reduced conflicts due to improved water access & use & better DRR responses. The role of local communities (e.g. WRUAs in Kenya, water point committees in Ethiopia) & local elders also has positive impacts.
- However, there are also increasing contestations regarding dam site decision, agricultural intensification, cross-boundary issues, betwn. pastoralists & agro-pastoralists, betwn. politicians & bureaucrats.



Conclusion

- One cannot just cherry-pick what they like about devolution. There are some unintended consequences too. Both positive & negative impacts need to be compared & contrasted to understand its overall effects.
- Decentralisation is creating new spaces for governance of water & DRR sectors, with both opportunities & challenges that may enhance or undermine adaptation.
- Decentralisation is by no means a guarantee for successful adaptation governance if it is not accompanied by attendant capacity building, knowledge transfer, policy synchronisation, devolution of power & resources, & wider participation of local actors.
- Need of horizontal & vertical integration incorporating the cross-scales & sectors of adaptation governance, particularly in linking different levels of decision-making & addressing issues of coordination, nestedeness, capacity building & knowledge sharing.



Supported by





🔀 IDRC 🛛 CRDI

Canada

International Development Research Centre Centre de recherches pour le développement international



ASSAR at Adaptation Futures: Where to find us

Visit us in the Expo Hall: IDRC/CARIAA stand (booths 5 & 6)

Look out for this quick guide for a summary of ASSAR presentations at Adaptation Futures

Visit our website: www.assar.uct.ac.za A quick guide to ASSAR (Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions) at Adaptation Futures 2018



