ADAPTATION AT SCALE IN SEMI-ARID REGIONS 2014–2018

MOBILITY IS AN INHERENT DYNAMIC AMONG VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

AN ASSAR CROSS-REGIONAL INSIGHT

Mobility is an important strategy to manage risk in semi-arid regions, but it doesn't always lead to improved wellbeing. Whether moving or staying put, risks can increase for those with little agency. Conversely, mobility can provide the means to move out of risky locations and improve livelihoods. In these cases, migration contributes to improved wellbeing and adaptive capacity.

ASSAR's focus on mobility

Semi-arid regions are crucibles of change in which different stressors coalesce, increasing the pressure on populations who are in many cases already vulnerable. Within these environments, mobility is an essential feature in many people's lives, and represents a key risk-management strategy, enabling populations to respond to livelihood shocks and stresses. Over time, risks change and evolve as do risk management behaviours, including mobility. Unpacking the multiple, intersecting vulnerabilities that are manifest in semi-arid regions is critical to generate greater insights into the 'how and why' of risk management, and to enable more equitable and effective adaptive responses.

ASSAR investigated the role of mobility as an adaptive response to change within populations in semi-arid regions. The dynamic and intersectional nature of risks and responses is not well articulated in global environmental change literature. Using empiricallyrich data, our research highlights how people's approaches to managing risks are individualised but set within other social institutions, such as households and communities. It also points to the importance of mobility within risk-management strategies. Mobility can facilitate changes to risk portfolios in a number of ways, including accentuating or attenuating risks, eradicating risks entirely, or exposing populations to completely new risks. Conversely, sometimes mobility doesn't lead to any changes in the underlying risks people are exposed to. Changes are shaped by a person's agency, which is set within a web of broader social structures.

Over time, we see how the risks that people experience, and their responses to them, alter their wellbeing trajectories. Through a novel theoretical concept – the 'adaptation option space' – our evidence suggests that it can sometimes become increasingly difficult to shift downward wellbeing trajectories. Using the <u>life history methodology</u> helps to reveal these wellbeing trajectories, capturing the temporality of risks, livelihood choices, adaptation outcomes, and their interrelationships. This approach shows how current responses are influenced by past events, and enables us to infer how current behaviours may influence future, as yet unknown, events.

KEY INSIGHTS

EVERYDAY MOBILITY ENABLES PEOPLE IN SEMI-ARID REGIONS TO MAINTAIN THEIR LIVELIHOODS, AND MANAGE RISK

The use of mobility in semi-arid regions is the norm rather than the exception, supporting a variety of livelihoods reliant on natural resources (such as firewood collection or pastoralism), in addition to trading and more regularised commuting from rural areas to large urban settlements. Mobility is a key resource that people draw upon to manage highly dynamic conditions.



Mobility plays a key role in enabling livelihoods in semi-arid regions in Africa and India. It is often a necessary foundation on which lives are built, and plays a central role in people's risk management and adaptation behaviour. Despite this importance, commonplace mobility is a neglected area of research within the field of migration and environmental change research, especially within developing countries. The type of everyday or very regular mobility that is used for livelihoods includes commuting, pastoralism, trading miraa (the term used in Kenya for the stimulant Khat), and firewood collection. In India there are people who commute long distances daily (e.g., from Kolar district to Bengaluru – a distance of 80-100 km one way) to enter low-paying jobs in the informal sector (e.g., painters, cooks, gardeners, construction workers). In Kenya, miraa traders move between rural and urban environments, transporting *miraa* to market, while pastoralists need to move their herds to different areas for days or weeks at a time, and some women make a living collecting firewood.

RISKS AND RESPONSES ARE DYNAMIC AND INTERACT WITH ONE ANOTHER TO SHAPE THE OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE OVER TIME

Mobility occurs within a dynamic context of intersecting risks and responses that change over time. These interactions shape the 'adaptation option space' – the space available to people to adapt given the risks they face, responses they undertake, and adaptive capacities they hold. Different individuals and households respond differently, and the outcomes of mobility also vary. The life history approach shows how risks and adaptation options change over time, and their implications on wellbeing. Knowing where people have come from helps to understand not only their current situation but also their ability to respond to future, as yet unknown, livelihood stresses. The <u>link between risks and responses</u> for individuals and households is dynamic and varies between sociallydifferentiated groups of people. It is essential to understand the unique perspectives that households have on key events, significant change, and what this means for their productive lives, wellbeing, and aspirations for their families. The use of the <u>life history methodology</u> helps to reveal people's personal experiences and perceptions of their wellbeing trajectory, thus capturing the temporality of risks, livelihood choices and adaptation outcomes, and their interrelationships.

Mobility can increase or reduce the risks faced by an individual or household. The experience of risks by different people and households is also strongly linked to their level of agency within a broader set of structural limitations. Over time, the risks that people experience and the responses they undertake, lead to changes in their wellbeing and specific trajectories of risk management. In some instances, it becomes increasingly difficult to shift negative trajectories, which leads to dramatic decreases in wellbeing over time with increasingly unlikely chances of recovery.

It is important to understand people's use of mobility within a web of dynamic and related processes. Individuals are active agents in the face of change but are often limited by the broader political, economic, social, environmental, and cultural structures around them. These broader issues affect the choices that people make when responding to risks, and the effectiveness of those choices. For example, we found some households were able to respond to risks in ways that maintained a stable or positive wellbeing trajectory. For other households, despite changing behaviours, their wellbeing trajectory was negative and strongly influenced by compounding livelihood shocks, suggesting the presence of social tipping points. A social tipping point is the instance at which a threshold is crossed, making recovery very difficult or impossible (a similar idea to a poverty trap). If we accept that social tipping points exist then we can shift our focus to thinking through what sort of interventions are required to bring about positive change.

People and places are different. There is clearly a need for approaches that place greater value on understanding intersectionality, social differentiation, and place when generating knowledge about vulnerability. Such knowledge is critical to support the design and implementation of more effective and equitable interventions and policy responses in resource-scarce and highly dynamic climate hotspots such as semi-arid regions. MIGRATION IS NOT ALWAYS BENEFICIAL SO ALTERNATIVES SHOULD BE EXPLORED TO AVOID RISKS TO MIGRANTS AND THOSE LEFT AT HOME

There are trade-offs associated with moving. At an intra-household level, migration and commuting can alleviate vulnerability for some family members while exacerbating the vulnerability of those left behind. At a larger scale, migration that is adaptive at a household scale can be maladaptive at a system scale if cities are unable to provide for or absorb migrants who often live in highly vulnerable conditions. On a temporal scale, migration and commuting affect livelihood trajectories and choices of those beyond the migrants alone. We found evidence of migration decisions shaping opportunities across generations.

Migration is often portrayed as either 'a failure to adapt' (i.e., only the most vulnerable do not move out of highly vulnerable areas) or a 'successful adaptation strategy' (where those with adequate social networks and financial means are seen to take proactive decisions to move and expand their livelihoods). However, this binary does not accurately capture the mixed outcomes of migration for those who migrate and those who stay behind, or capture the various impacts on rural and urban areas as a whole. We find that migration outcomes are highly differentiated across and within households with causal patterns of vulnerability in rural areas often replicated in <u>urban areas</u>.

In some poor rural villages migration is perceived as a beneficial response strategy because some migrants bring success stories home and support their families with inputs and equipment during the planting season. However, some of the jobs and settlements that migrants move to expose them to harsh working and living conditions (e.g., <u>mines in</u> <u>Mali</u>, and informal settlements in India). This can lead to migrants catching diseases (e.g., from mining settlements) which family members need to spend money to try to treat. In the Mali case, an alternative to working in mines is <u>vegetable production</u>. Having an alternative livelihood and being able to stay at home is beneficial because housing, some food, and a more healthy environment is available at home. In the case of India, migrants are doing better economically (our survey showed that migrant household incomes were almost double those of non-migrant <u>households</u>) but reported poorer wellbeing, especially satisfaction related to health and community networks. While remittances in India supplement household income and help repay existing loans they do not necessarily build adaptive capacities. This is also the case in other countries, such as Namibia, where households with more remittances didn't adopt adaptation options. In addition, structural constraints were not necessarily lost in moving (e.g., in some cases in India informal settlements were segregated based on religion or caste).

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The importance of everyday mobility to support a range of livelihoods and <u>enhance wellbeing</u> in semiarid regions needs to be acknowledged.
- There is a need for more refined and sensitive approaches that reveal heterogeneity, intersectionality and situatedness, especially in resource-scarce and highly dynamic climate hotspots such as semi-arid regions.
- More support is needed for <u>livelihoods</u> in both rural and urban environments. People are in need of education and skills; resources and training to increase adaptive capacity; economic opportunities; and decent and safe work and housing in urban areas.
- Understanding how risks and responses interrelate over time can increase our understanding of how best to intervene and what forms these interventions should take.
- Mobility is not the only adaptation strategy people employ. Supporting other forms of adaptation is equally important.
- Mobility can be a valuable adaptation option, but it should not be seen, *a priori*, by policy makers or those working on adaptation as a solution, since it can come with many risks and reproduce vulnerabilities.

AUTHORS

Mark Tebboth* (<u>M.Tebboth@uea.ac.uk</u>), University of East Anglia Chandni Singh (<u>csingh@iihs.ac.in</u>), Indian Institute for Human Settlements Dian Spear (dian.spear@gmail.com), University of Cape Town Brendon Bosworth (brendon.bosworth@mailbox.org), University of Cape Town Tali Hoffman (tali.s.hoffman@gmail.com), University of Cape Town Lucia Scodanibbio (scolucia@gmail.com), University of Cape Town

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Adiku, P. and Khan, A. 2018. *Migration in climate change hotspots: Opportunities and challenges for adaptation*. [Information brief]. Collaborative Adaptation Research Initiative in Africa and Asia (CARIAA). <u>Link</u>.

ASSAR. 2018. Do conservancies enhance the adaptive capacity of communities? Perspectives from ASSAR's work in Kenya. [Information brief]. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). Link.

ASSAR. 2019. A focus on wellbeing can link adaptation to outcomes that matter to people. [Information brief]. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). Link.

Berthe, T. 2016. *La Migration et la Variabilité de la Vulnérabilité des Groupes Sociaux: Cas du Village de M'Pessoba*. Master's thesis. Institut Universitaire de Développement Territorial de Bamako (IUDT). <u>Link</u>.

Biney, A. 2019. *The role of remittances on adaptive capacity of smallholder farmers in Lawra district.* Master's thesis. University of Ghana. <u>Link</u>.

Camfield, L., Leavy, J., Endale, S. and Tefere, T. In prep. 'People who once had 40 cattle are left only with fences': Coping with Persistent Drought in Awash, Ethiopia. <u>Link</u> to presentation.

CARIAA. 2018. *Understanding migration in India.* [Information brief]. Collaborative Adaptation Research in Africa and Asia (CARIAA). <u>Link</u>.

Davies, J., Singh, C., Tebboth, M. G. L., Spear, D., Mensah, A. and Ansah, P. 2018. *Conducting life history interviews: A how-to guide*. [Manual]. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). Link.

Degefu, M. A., Assen, M. and Tesfaye, M. 2019. *Prosopis juliflora: Impacts and management in the face of climate change in Ethiopia's Middle Awash Valley*. [Information brief]. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). <u>Link</u>.

Few, R. 2017. *Drought does not work alone*. [Information brief]. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). Link.

Few, R., Satyal P., Assen M., Camfield L., Leavy J. and McGahey D. 2018. *The development-adaptation spectrum in dryland East Africa: mapping risks, responses and critical questions for social research*. CARIAA-ASSAR Working Paper. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). Link.

Few, R. and Tebboth, M. G. L. 2018. Recognising the dynamics that surround drought impacts. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 157: 113-115. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.jaridenv.2018.06.001</u>. <u>Link</u> to summary. <u>Link</u> to information brief.

Few, R., Satyal, P. and Tebboth, M. G. L. In prep. Using a justice/ capabilities framing to understand people's vulnerability and adaptive capacity in the drylands of East Africa.

Gitonga, Z. and Visser, M. In prep. Impact of migration on household social protection and wellbeing: An application of dose-response using control function approach.

Maharjan, A., de Campos, R. S. Das, S., Srinivas, A., Bhuiyan, M. R. A., Ishaq, S., Shrestha, K., Dilshad, T., Umar, M. A., Bhadwal, S., Ghosh, T., Singh, C., Suckall, N. and Vincent. K. In prep. Migration and adaptation in the context of environmental change: lessons from interdisciplinary work in South Asia.

Michael, K., Singh, C., Deshpande, T. and Bazaz, A. 2017. Dimensions of vulnerability in rural and urban areas: A case of migrants in Karnataka. [Information brief]. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). <u>Link</u>.

Michael, K., Deshpande, T. and Ziervogel, G. 2018. Examining vulnerability in a dynamic urban setting: The case of Bangalore's interstate migrant waste pickers. *Climate and Development*. DOI: <u>10.1080/17565529.2018.1531745</u>. Link to summary. <u>Link</u> to information brief.

Michael, K., Deshpande, T. and Bhaskara, K. In prep. The political economy of climate change and vulnerability in a neo-liberal city: A case of Bengaluru's informal settlements.

Plea, A. 2016. Stratégie d'Adaptation des groupes sociaux face aux changements climatiques: Cas du maraîchage dans le village de Koumbri (Commune de Yognogo, Cercle de Koutiala). Master's thesis. Institut Universitaire de Développement Territorial de Bamako (IUDT). <u>Link</u>.

Rahman, A., Basu, R. and Singh C. In prep. Exploring the interface between climate change and migration: evidence from India.

Rivers III, L., Sanga, U., Sidibe, A., Wood, A., Paudel, R., Marquart-Pyatt, S. T., Ligmann-Zielinska, A., Olabisi, L. S., Du, E. J. and Liverpool-Tasie, S. 2017. Mental models of food security in rural Mali. *Environment Systems and Decisions*. DOI: <u>10.1007/s10669-017-9669-y</u>. <u>Link</u> to summary. <u>Link</u> to information brief.

Singh, C., Basu, R. and Srinivas, A. 2016. *Livelihood vulnerability* and adaptation in Kolar District, Karnataka, India: Mapping risks and responses. Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). <u>Link</u>.

Singh, C. 2017. Using life histories to understand temporal vulnerability to climate change in highly dynamic contexts. SAGE Research Methods Cases. DOI: <u>10.4135/9781526440358</u>. Link to summary. Link to manual.

Singh, C., Rahman, A., Srinivas, A. and Bazaz, A. 2018. Risks and responses in rural India: Implications for local climate change adaptation action. *Climate Risk Management*, 21: 52-68. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.crm.2018.06.001</u>. <u>Link</u> to summary. <u>Link</u> to information brief.

Singh, C. 2019. Migration as a driver of changing household structures: Implications for household livelihoods and adaptation. *Migration and Development*. DOI: 10.1080/21632324.2019.1589073. Link to summary.

Singh, C. and Basu, R. In prep. Moving in and out of vulnerability: Interrogating migration as an adaptation strategy along a rural urban continuum in India. <u>Link</u> to summary.

Tebboth, M. G. L. and Few, R. 2018. *Considering the future of Prosopis: Participatory Scenario Analysis in Afar, Ethiopia.* Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). <u>Link</u>.

Tebboth, M. G. L. and Few, R. 2018. *Considering the future of the rangelands: Participatory Scenario Analysis in Isiolo, Kenya.* Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions (ASSAR). <u>Link</u>.

Tebboth, M. G. L., Singh, C., Spear, D., Mensah, A. and Ansah, P. In prep. The role of mobility in changing livelihood trajectories: Implications for vulnerability and adaptation in semi-arid regions. <u>Link</u> to summary.

Wasonga, O., Kibet, S., Tebboth, M. G. L., Few, R. In prep. Do wildlife conservancies enhance the adaptive capacity of local communities? Perspectives from northern Kenya.

Yidana, A. A. 2016. Social differentiation in the vulnerability and adaptation patterns among smallholder farmers: Evidence from north western Ghana. Master's thesis. University of Ghana. <u>Link</u>.

Photographs in this section: Lucia Scodanibbio









Design and layout: Rothko Brand Partners www.rothko.co.za This work was carried out under the Collaborative Adaptation Research Initiative in Africa and Asia (CARIAA), with financial support from the UK Government's Department for International Development (DfID) and the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Canada. The views expressed in this work are those of the creators and do not necessarily represent those of DfID and IDRC or its Board of Governors.